

CIC Green Product Certification Assessment Guide

Reinforcing Bar and Structural Steel



CIC GREEN
PRODUCT CERTIFICATION

Scheme Owned by Construction Industry Council (CIC)
38/F, COS Centre, 56 Tsun Yip Street, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Operated by Hong Kong Green Building Council (HKGBC)
1/F, Jockey Club Environmental Building, 77 Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong
Phone: +852 3994 8818
Email: cicgpc@hkgbc.org.hk

USE OF THIS ASSESSMENT GUIDE

This Assessment Guide (the “Guide”) details principles, requirements and guides for the quantification and reporting of the carbon footprint of products (CFP) under the Sustainable Product Certification launched by the Construction Industry Council (CIC). The Guide sets the product category rules and benchmark for differentiating “low carbon” construction products in the market.

The CIC Green Product Certification is a voluntary eco-labelling scheme. The carbon assessment framework is based on the ISO Technical Specification 14067:2013 “Greenhouse Gases – Carbon Footprint of Products – Requirements and Guidelines for Quantification and Communication”. Users of this Guide should note that this Scheme focuses on a single impact category: climate change, other environmental aspects along a product’s life cycle are beyond the content of this Guide.

This standard may be used by CIC authorised Carbon Auditor and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Validation / Verification Bodies to conduct carbon audit, reporting, verification according to the requirements set by this Guide. Where a product is certified under the CIC Green Product Certification, it may display the CIC Green Product Certification to show that the product has been independently verified and demonstrates conformance with the assessment criteria detailed in this Guide.

The purpose of the CIC Green Product Certification is the communication of verifiable and accurate information on the carbon footprint of construction materials for clients, designers, contractors and end to make informed decision. As required by the Trade Descriptions Ordinance the information cannot be misleading. Such information encourages the demand for, and supply of, low carbon products, thereby stimulating the potential for market-driven continuous environmental improvement. Where a company has a product certified as conforming to this Guide, it may gain a marketing advantage in government and business procurement programmes, as well as greater market recognition in general.

GHGs are emitted and removed throughout the life cycle of a product from raw material acquisition through production, use and end-of-life treatment. The quantification and reporting of the CFP under this Labelling Scheme is based on a life cycle assessment. As such, the CFP report based on this Guide may also offer guidance for manufacturers to design and refine the processing, manufacturing and delivery of their product(s) in reducing GHG emissions, energy consumption, and thereby cost.

While all CIC Guides for the Green Product Certification are voluntary, compliance with all applicable laws and regulations is a required requisite for the marketing of the products using the CIC Green Product Certification.

For further information please contact our operator:

Hong Kong Green Building Council (HKGBC)

Phone: +852 3994 8888

Email: cicgpc@hkgbc.org.hk

© Construction Industry Council 2022

All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, whether electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the Construction Industry Council.

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Scope	1
1.3	How to Apply for CIC Green Product Certification	2
2.	DEFINITIONS & ACRONYMS	5
2.1	Terms Relating to CFP Quantification and Labelling	5
2.2	Terms Relating to Life Cycle Assessment	6
2.3	Terms Relating to Steel Production	7
3.	CFP-PCR FOR REINFORCING BAR AND STRUCTUAL STEEL.....	8
3.1	Goal and Scope.....	8
3.2	Life Cycle Inventory Analysis.....	10
3.3	Life Cycle Impact Assessment	19
3.4	Life Cycle Interpretation	19
4.	REFERENCES	21
	ANNEX A – THE 100 YEAR GWP	22

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The purpose of CIC Green Product Certification (the “Scheme”) is to promote low carbon construction products in the market, and thus contributing to the transition of Hong Kong to a low carbon economy. With the CIC Green Product Certification, construction practitioners may select low carbon materials in a simple and unequivocal manner.

This Assessment Guide (the “Guide”) sets the product category rules (PCR) and benchmark for labelling low carbon reinforcing bars and structural steel products under the Scheme. The production of steel products is energy intensive and the iron and steel industry accounts for about 19% of final energy use and about a quarter of direct CO₂ emissions from the industry sector (IEA, 2007). The CO₂ relevance is high due to a large share of coal in the energy mix.

This Guide details the principles, requirements and guides for the quantification and reporting of the carbon footprint of products (CFP) under the Scheme. The Guide is voluntary, and after verification, enables certified products to display the CIC Green Product Certification to show that it is environmentally preferable.

1.2 Scope

This Guide covers Non Alloy Steels in accordance with BS EN 10020:2000 “Definition and Classification of Grades of Steel”. It contains by mass more iron than any other single element, having a carbon content generally less than 2% and containing other elements. It is applicable to four broad steel product categories namely, (i) steel reinforcing bar; (ii) steel section; (iii) steel plate; and (iv) steel pipe (Table 1). Other types of steel products may be added to the scope of this Guide at a later date.

This Scheme focuses on a single impact category: climate change by quantifying the GHGs generated from the production of reinforcing bar and structural steel in terms of CO₂ equivalents (CO₂e). It covers the six types of GHGs under the Kyoto Protocol (United Nations, 1997), namely, CO₂, methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs) and sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆) which impact directly on global warming. Other environmental aspects along the product’s life cycle are beyond the content of this Guide.

Table 1. Product Categorisation under the CIC Green Product Certification

Product Category	Product Sub-category
i. Reinforcing bar	-
ii. Steel section	a. Structural section (incl. Universal beam / column, H section, I section, Bearing pile) b. Hollow section c. Bar section (incl., Flat bar, Square bar, Round bar, Tee bar) d. Others (Channel, Angle, Z section, Mesh)
iii. Steel plate	-
iv. Steel pipe	-

The benchmark for reinforcing bar and structural steel products is listed in Table 2 below.

Table 2. Benchmark for Reinforcing Bar and Structural Steel Products under the CIC Green Product Certification

Category of steel products	Rebar	Section	Plate	Pipe
E _{da}	2.77	3.03	3.27	2.87
Certification level	(tCO ₂ e / t of product)			
Platinum	<1.5235	<1.6665	<1.7985	<1.5785
Gold	1.5235-2.0775	1.6665-2.2725	1.7985-2.4525	1.5785-2.1525
Silver	2.0776-3.4625	2.2726-3.7875	2.4526-4.0875	2.1526-3.5875
Bronze	3.4626-4.0165	3.7876-4.3935	4.0876-4.7415	3.5876-4.1615
Green	>4.0166	>4.3936	>4.7416	>4.1616

1.3 How to Apply for CIC Green Product Certification

Manufacturers or suppliers interested in CIC Green Product Certification are required to go through the following three major processes: (i) Carbon Auditing; (ii) Verification; and (iii) Certification as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Certification Process

Carbon Auditing

To launch an application¹, the Applicant shall first engage a certified carbon auditor (CCA), either internally or externally, to carry out the carbon auditing and reporting duties. Based on the requirements stated in this Guide and the CFP quantification tool provided, a CFP study report should also be compiled by the appointed CCA detailing the carbon footprint of the studied product throughout the designated life cycle stages. As stated in ISO/TS 14067 (2013), the CFP study according to this Guide shall include the four phases of life cycle assessment (LCA), i.e. goal and scope definition, life cycle inventory (LCI) analysis, life cycle impact assessment (LCIA), and life cycle interpretation.

Verification

The CFP study report and relevant documentation should then be validated and verified by a GHG Validation / Verification Body (VVB) accredited by Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) or equivalent accreditation programmes², in accordance with ISO 14064-1:2006 “Greenhouse gases -- Part 1: Specification with Guidance at the Organisation Level for Quantification and Reporting of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Removals”³ or ISO/TS 14067 (2013)³. The Applicant shall provide relevant supporting information as requested by the VVB, and this includes but not limited to the completed CFP assessment, the evidence of raw materials and fuel used, electricity bills, and type of machines used. Verification reports issued by non-accredited VVBs with at least 2-year experience in CFP auditing are acceptable.

Certification

Once the CFP study report and relevant documentation are verified, Applicants shall submit a completed application form and corresponding application fee to HKGBC. HKGBC would review the submitted CFP study report and relevant documentation and grade the carbon performance of the product(s) (Grades of carbon rating as shown below). Based on the review HKGBC shall issue the CIC Green Product Certification with a corresponding carbon rating with a validity period of three years. Upon complying with the *Guideline for the Use of the CIC Green Product Certificate and Logos* (the “User Guide”), with the payment of a licence fee, the CIC Green Product Certification with product details may appear for consumer information by print, online or other accessible media. Subsequent to certification, HKGBC may conduct periodic surveillance assessments of the certified product. Licence renewal shall be applied at least two months prior to the licence expiry date. Further information and assistance can be obtained during application. Visit the Web site <http://cicgpc.hkgbc.org.hk> for more information.

¹ An individual application shall be made for a specific product category that fulfils equivalent functions (ISO 14025:2006). Please refer to Table 1.

² Accreditation programmes refer to those accredited by the International Accreditation Forum (IAF) i.e. the European co-operation for Accreditation (EA), the InterAmerican Accreditation Cooperation (IAAC), and the Pacific Accreditation Cooperation (PAC) through the Multilateral Recognition Arrangement (MLA).

³ The operational boundary shall be extended to raw material acquisition and off-site transportation for assessing the carbon footprint at the product level.

Five Grades of the CIC Green Product Certification



Platinum



Gold



Silver



Bronze



Green

2. DEFINITIONS & ACRONYMS

2.1 Terms Relating to CFP Quantification and Labelling

Biomass: material of biological origin excluding material embedded in geological formations and material transformed to fossilised material, and excluding peat. Biomass includes organic material (both living and dead), e.g. trees, crops, grasses, tree litter, algae, animals, and waste of biological origin, e.g. manure.

Carbon Dioxide Equivalent (CO₂e): unit for comparing the radiative forcing of a greenhouse gas to that of carbon dioxide. Mass of a GHG is converted into CO₂e using global warming potentials provided in Annex A.

Carbon Footprint of Product (CFP): sum of greenhouse gas emissions and removals in a product system, expressed as CO₂ equivalents and based on a life cycle assessment using the single impact category of climate change.
[SOURCE: ISO 14067:2013, 3.1]

Certified Carbon Auditor (CCA): an individual who is qualified to conduct carbon auditing for a particular product category under this Scheme.

CFP Study: study that quantifies the CFP.

EPD: Environmental Product Declaration. An EPD is a comprehensive, internationally harmonized report created by a product manufacturer that documents the ways in which a product, throughout its lifecycle, affects the environment.

Global warming potential (GWP): characterisation factor describing the radiative forcing impact of one mass-based unit of a given greenhouse gas relative to that of carbon dioxide over a given period of time as listed in Annex A.

Greenhouse Gas (GHG): gaseous constituent of the atmosphere, both natural and anthropogenic, that absorbs and emits radiation at specific wavelengths within the spectrum of infrared radiation emitted by the earth's surface, the atmosphere, and clouds.
[note: the list of GHG with their recognised GWP is provided in Annex A of ISO 14067:2013 according to IPCC Fourth Assessment Report]

ICE: Inventory of Carbon and Energy

IPCC: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

ISO: International Organisation for Standard.

Manufacturer: for the purpose of this Guide these terms comprise both manufacturers of a product as well as material suppliers. These may not necessary be the companies that apply for the CIC Green Product Certification, since certification can also be awarded to retailers of a product. However, data from original manufacturer of the product are required.

Product Category: group of products that can fulfil equivalent functions.

Product Category Rules (PCR): set of rules, requirements and guidelines for development Type III environmental declarations for one or more product categories.

Product System: collection of unit processes with elementary flows and product flows, performing one or more defined functions and which models the life cycle of a product.

System Boundary: set of criteria specifying which unit processes are part of a product system.

Type III Environmental Declaration: environmental declaration providing quantified environmental data using predetermined parameters and, where relevant, additional environmental information.

[SOURCE: ISO 14025:2006, 3.2]

CARES: UK Certification Authority for Reinforcing Steels Ltd, Registered in England No 1762448

V/VB: GHG validation / verification body that provides GHG assertions' validation and verification services.

2.2 Terms Relating to Life Cycle Assessment

Life Cycle: consecutive and interlinked stages of a product system, from raw material acquisition or generation from natural resources to final disposal.

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA): compilation and evaluation of the inputs, outputs and the potential environmental impacts of a product system throughout its life cycle.

[SOURCE: ISO 14044:2006, 3.2]

Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA): phase of life cycle assessment aimed at understanding and evaluating the magnitude and significance of the potential environmental impacts for a product system throughout the life cycle of the product.

[SOURCE: ISO 14044:2006, 3.4]

Life Cycle Inventory Analysis (LCI): phase of life cycle assessment involving the compilation and quantification of inputs and outputs for a product throughout its life cycle.

Primary Data: quantified value of a unit process or an activity obtained from a direct measurement or a calculation based on direct measurements at its original source.

[note: primary data need not necessarily originate from the product system under study because primary data may relate to a different but comparable product system to that being studied; primary data may include GHG emission factors and/or GHG activity data.]

Site-specific Data: data obtained from a direct measurement or a calculation based on direct measurement at its original source within the product system.

Secondary Data: data obtained from sources other than a direct measurement or a calculation based on direct measurements at the original source such as databases and published literature validated by competent authorities.

Sensitivity Analysis: systematic procedures for estimating the effects of the choices made regarding methods and data on the outcome of a CFP study.

[SOURCE: ISO 14044:2006, 3.31]

Sensitivity Check: activity of verifying that the information obtained from a sensitivity analysis is relevant for reaching the conclusions and giving recommendations.

2.3 Terms Relating to Steel Production

Coke Oven Gas: a by-product of coke manufacturing having a medium calorific value that is produced during the manufacture of metallurgical coke by heating bituminous coal to temperatures of 900-1000°C in a chamber from which air is excluded. The gas has an average heating value of 20,000 kJ/m³ such that it could be recycled and reused as a heating source.

Blast Furnace (BF): a metallurgical furnace where iron making occurs through smelting. Fuel, ore, and flux (limestone) are continuously supplied through the top of the blast furnace, while air is blown into the lower section of the furnace, so that the chemical reactions take place throughout the furnace as the material moves downward. The output of a BF is molten pig iron.

Basic Oxygen Furnace (BOF): a metallurgical furnace which refines molten iron into steel by injecting hot oxygen to drive off impurities for primary steel making occurs. Large quantities of CO produced by the reactions in the BOF are converted to CO₂ due to combustion.

Electric Arc Furnace (EAF): a steel making furnace which uses high energy electric arc to melt ferrous scrap for refining into new steel.

Direct Reduced Iron (DRI): direct reduction involves the reduction of iron ore to metallic iron in the solid state at process temperatures less than 1000°C. A solid product referred to as direct reduced iron (DRI) is produced by the direct reduction process. DRI is normally used as a replacement for scrap metal in the electric arc furnace steel making route, but may also be used as a feedstock for blast oxygen furnace steel making.

Pelletising: a process turning the iron ore and waste iron materials into the form which is eligible to be processed in the blast furnace. In the pelletising plant, the iron ore is processed to make the marble sized product called pellet which is used as a feed for blast furnace to produce steel.

Sintering: a technology for agglomeration of iron ore fines into useful 'Blast Furnace' burden material.

WBCSD: World Business Council for Sustainable Development.

WRI: World Resources Institute.

3. CFP-PCR FOR REINFORCING BAR AND STRUCTURAL STEEL

This section sets the PCR of reinforcing bar and structural steel products for CFP quantification and reporting under the CIC Green Product Certification following the four phases of life cycle assessment (LCA), i.e. goal and scope, LCI, LCIA, and life cycle interpretation. Applicants should refer to the principles and methodology detailed in ISO/TS 14067 (2013) and The GHG Protocol Initiative (2008) for CFP quantification and reporting.

3.1 Goal and Scope

Goal of CFP Study

The goal of carrying out a CFP study is to calculate the potential contribution of a specific reinforcing bar and structural steel product to climate change expressed as CO_{2e} by quantifying all significant GHG emissions and removals over the reinforcing bar and structural steel product's life cycle.

The CFP study reports submitted by Applicants will then be evaluated by HKGBC for product certification purpose. This is facilitated by identical CFP quantification and communication requirements under the same product category as stipulated in Annex D of ISO/TS 14067.

The Green Product Certification aims to facilitate clients, designers, contractors and end users to select low carbon construction products in a simple and unequivocal manner. Consequently, the demand for and supply of low carbon construction products can be stimulated, thereby promoting continuous environmental improvement.

Scope of CFP Study

This Guide is currently applicable to four steel product categories namely, (i) steel reinforcing bar; (ii) steel section; (iii) steel plate; and (iv) steel pipe as shown in Table 1. The functional unit of the reinforcing bar and structural steel products is 1 tonne, where 1 tonne = 1,000 kg. The CFP study shall focus on a single impact category i.e. climate change.

System Boundary

The assessment of carbon footprint of reinforcing bar and structural steel products under this Scheme shall be based on a “cradle-to-site” approach, covering all GHG emissions and removals arising from raw material acquisition through production, and transportation of the product to the border of Hong Kong as shown in Table 3. The GHG emissions and removals in the use stage are insignificant and therefore neglected. The emissions from the process of recycling steel, if any, is estimated in the upstream process rather than the disposal stage.

Table 3. System Boundary for Quantifying Carbon Footprint of Reinforcing Bar and Structural Steel

System Boundaries	Processes		
I. Upstream Processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Extraction and production of raw material and energy wares used in the production and packaging of the finished product ■ Recycling process of recycled materials used in the product ■ Transportation of raw materials and recycled materials to the plant 		
II. Core Processes	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: top; width: 50%;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Production of steel including processes in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Coking plant ■ Sintering plant ■ Pelletising plant ■ Blast furnace ■ Basic oxygen furnace ■ Electric arc furnace ■ Ladle furnace ■ Reheating furnace of rolling mill </td> <td style="vertical-align: top; width: 50%;"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Finishing of steel <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Casting ■ Hot rolling ■ Cold rolling ■ Storage and packaging for dispatch </td> </tr> </table>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Production of steel including processes in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Coking plant ■ Sintering plant ■ Pelletising plant ■ Blast furnace ■ Basic oxygen furnace ■ Electric arc furnace ■ Ladle furnace ■ Reheating furnace of rolling mill 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Finishing of steel <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Casting ■ Hot rolling ■ Cold rolling ■ Storage and packaging for dispatch
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Production of steel including processes in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Coking plant ■ Sintering plant ■ Pelletising plant ■ Blast furnace ■ Basic oxygen furnace ■ Electric arc furnace ■ Ladle furnace ■ Reheating furnace of rolling mill 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Finishing of steel <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Casting ■ Hot rolling ■ Cold rolling ■ Storage and packaging for dispatch 		
III. Downstream Process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Transportation from manufacturing to the border of HK 		

Source: EPD (2011)

3.2 Life Cycle Inventory Analysis

LCI is the phase of LCA involving the compilation and quantification of inputs and outputs for a product throughout its life cycle. This Section states the key principles of CFP quantification, process map of reinforcing bar and structural steel production, the associated sources of GHG emissions, and data requirements for LCI analysis under the CIC Green Product Certification.

Key Principles

The quantification and reporting of a CFP in accordance with this Guide is based on the principles of the LCA methodology provided in ISO 14040 and ISO 14044:

i) Relevance

Select data and methods appropriate to the assessment of the GHG emissions and removals arising from the product system being studied.

ii) Completeness

Include all GHG emissions and removals that provide a significant contribution to the CFP of the product system being studied.

iii) Consistency

Apply assumptions, methods and data in the same way throughout the CFP study to arrive at conclusions in accordance with the goal and scope definition.

iv) Accuracy

Ensure that CFP quantification and communication are accurate, verifiable, relevant and not misleading and that bias and uncertainties are reduced as far as is practical.

v) Transparency

Address and document all relevant issues in an open, comprehensive and understandable presentation of information. Disclose any relevant assumptions and make appropriate references to the methodologies and data sources used. Clearly explain any estimates and avoid bias so that the CFP study report faithfully represents what it purports to represent.

Process Map

The key unit processes of reinforcing bar and structural steel manufacturing within the stipulated system boundary are presented in Figure 4 for CFP quantification. Steel is produced via two main routes: (i) integrated smelting involving blast furnace (BF) iron-making followed by basic oxygen furnace (BOF); and (ii) electric arc furnaces (EAF). In the BF-BOF route, the first step is to produce pig iron from iron ore. A blast furnace is fed with the treated iron from the sintering plant, coke and small quantities of fluxes (minerals, such as limestone, which are used to remove impurities). Air which is heated to about 1200°C is blown into the furnace through nozzles in the lower section. The hot metal output from the blast furnace is directly fed in to the basic oxygen furnace after pre-treatment to remove undesired elements like sulfur, silicon or phosphorous for producing steel. Alternatives to the blast furnace technique include direct reduction technique and smelting reduction technique. Molten steel in liquid form is obtained. Impurities go out in gaseous form and as slag.

EAF is the secondary route in the steel production procedure. It uses scrap as its principal input. The blend consists of typically 85-95% of post-consumer scrap, with the remainder post-industrial scrap and some flat iron or pig iron from an integrated steelworks possibly supplemented with direct reduced iron (i.e. gas reduction of iron ore without smelting). The materials are melted using heat generated with the aid of an electric arc produced by graphite electrodes to produce steel. In general, the production of primary steel is more energy intensive than the production of secondary steel via the EAF route due to the chemical energy required to reduce iron ore to iron using reducing agents.

Casting and shaping are the next steps in steel production. Casting can be a batch (ingots) or a continuous process (slabs, blooms or billets). Finishing is the final production step, and may include different processes such as annealing, pickling, cutting and surface treatment.




Figure 4. Process Map of Reinforcing Bar and Structural Steel Manufacturing⁴

⁴ The figure shows a typical BOF/EAF route steel making process. In some plants, DRI production process could be alternative route for iron making, of which the produced DRI can be used to supplement scrap in EAF route as well as serve as feedstock in BOF route.

Sources of GHG Emissions

The qualitative and quantitative data for inclusion in the life cycle inventory shall be collected for all unit processes that are included in the predefined system boundary and process map. The assessment and reporting of GHG emissions and removals of reinforcing bar and structural steel are divided into direct emissions and indirect emissions.

Direct vs. Indirect Emissions

The direct emissions stem from sources that are owned or controlled by the material supplier. The indirect emissions originate from sources that are controlled by third parties, but they are nonetheless related to the activities of the material supplier.

WBCSD (2013)

The GHG assessment framework is developed based on the ISO Technical Specification 14067:2013 “Greenhouse Gases – Carbon Footprint of Products – Requirements and Guidelines for Quantification and Communication” and the “Calculating Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Iron and Steel Production: A Component Tool of the Greenhouse Gas Protocol Initiative.” issued by The GHG Protocol Initiative (2008). Applicants are required to quantify and report the carbon footprint of a specific reinforcing bar and structural steel product using the CFP quantification tool (in Excel format) provided by our designated operator, the HKGBC.

Direct Emissions

The sources of direct GHG emissions include (i) combustion of furnace fuels; (ii) combustion of non-furnace fuels; and (iii) industrial processes.

i) Combustion of Furnace Fuels

Furnace fuels include all conventional and alternative fuels fed to the furnace system. If the electrical power is generated by third parties where the activities are not owned or controlled by the material manufacturers and suppliers, it should be referred to the “external electricity production” under indirect emissions.

Conventional Fuels

Conventional furnace fuels are fossil fuels including, e.g. coal, petcoke, fuel oil and natural gas. The preferred approach is to calculate CO_{2e} from conventional furnace fuels (the same applies to alternative and non-furnace fuels), based on fuel consumption, lower heating values, and matching CO_{2e} emission factors. Fuel consumption and lower heating values of fuels should be regularly measured at the plant level. It is important to note that the applied heating value always has to match the status of the fuel, especially with respect to the correct moisture content during its weighing (raw coal or dried coal).

Default emission factors per GJ lower heating value are extracted from IPCC (2006) and listed in the CFP quantification tool. Manufacturers are encouraged to use the plant or country specific emission factors if reliable data is available. The emission factor of fuels shall be based on the total carbon content. Direct calculation of emissions based on fuel consumption (in tonnes) and fuel carbon content (in percent) is acceptable on condition that the material variations in the composition of fuel, and especially its water content, are adequately accounted for.

Alternative Fuels

A variety of alternative fuels are increasingly used which are typically derived from wastes and therefore, without this application, the waste would have to be disposed of in some other forms, usually by landfilling or incineration. Alternative fuels include fossil fuel based fractions, such as waste oil and plastics, and biomass fractions, such as waste wood and sewage sludge. They serve as a substitute for conventional fossil fuels, and IPCC 2006 guidelines for national GHG inventories require the following:

- The amount of GHG taken up in biomass and the equivalent amount of GHG emissions from the biomass at the point of complete oxidation result in zero net GHG emissions when biomass carbon is not converted into methane, non-methane volatile organic compounds or other precursor gases.
- GHG emissions from fossil fuel-derived wastes (also called alternative fossil fuels), in contrast, is not *a priori* climate neutral. Direct GHG emissions from the combustion of fossil alternative fuels shall, therefore, be calculated and included in the total of direct emissions.
- GHG emissions from mixed fuels with biomass and fossil fraction (e.g. pre-treated industrial and/or domestic wastes), a split between the fossil and non-fossil fraction of the fuel should be established and the emission factors applied to the appropriate fractions.
- CO₂e emission factors shall be specified at the plant level where practical. In the absence of any plant or company specific data, manufacturers shall use the default emission factors provided in the CFP quantification tool in accordance with the IPCC.

ii) **Combustion of Non-Furnace Fuels**

Non-furnace fuels include all fuels which are not covered in the definition of furnace fuels used for the steel production. GHG emitted from non-furnace fuels is reported separately, by the following application types, to provide flexibility in the aggregation of emissions:

- Quarrying / mining raw materials
- On-site transportation
- Equipment
- Room heating / cooling
- On-site power generation

Carbon in non-furnace fuels is assumed to be fully oxidised. The resulting overestimation of emissions will usually be small and can be neglected in the CFP assessment. Analogous to the case of furnace fuels, the non-furnace fuels are categorised into conventional, alternative and biomass fuels for carbon footprint quantification and reporting.

To calculate GHG emissions from non-furnace fuels, fuel consumption, lower heating values and the matching GHG emission factors are required. If available, measured plant-specific lower heating values shall be used. If the same type of fuel

is used as non-furnace fuel and furnace fuel, then the CO_{2e} emission factors used for reporting shall correspond. Otherwise, measured plant-specific emission factors shall be used, if available. Alternatively, default values provided in the CFP quantification tool can be applied. When electricity is internally (e.g. on-site generated electricity) produced and consumed for a product under study, life cycle data for that electricity shall be used for that product.

iii) Industrial Processes

CO₂ will be released from the following industrial processes for steel production. Given that CO₂ and methane (CH₄) are the main GHG emissions from ferroalloy production, accounting for about 95% and less than 1% respectively of the total GHG emissions (Lindstad *et al.*, 2007), CO₂ dominates the GHG emitted during the production processes of steel. Other types of GHGs covered by the Kyoto Protocol (N₂O, PFC, HFC, SF₆) are not relevant in the steel production context and can therefore be ignored.

- Coke production
- Sinter production
- Lime production
- Steel production
- DRI production

The assessment of the GHG emissions generated and removed from the above industrial processes, except for lime production, shall be made based on an attributional approach, i.e. by assessing the carbon contents associated with inputs and outputs of a specific process. For instance, the GHG emissions of by-product gases from the coke oven, either for internal or external use, should first be subtracted within the process boundary. Subsequently, the GHG emitted from the fuel combustion and chemical reduction owing to the use of the coke oven gas associated with the assessed product should be assessed and reported in the subsequent processes.

To assess the CO₂ emissions generated from the on-site lime production, data on the type(s) and quantity(ies) of the carbonate(s) consumed to produce lime, as well as the respective emission factor(s) for these carbonate(s) are required. Properties of the lime kiln dust (LKD) are also needed. For off-site lime production, an output-based method is acceptable that requires data only on the amount of lime that facilities import. These data are then multiplied by an emission factor that is based on the stoichiometric ratios and CaO / CaO·MgO contents of a combination of lime types that is assumed to typify the output of the lime production industry. The resulting estimate of CO₂ emissions is then corrected for the production of hydrated lime and of any LKD that is not returned to the kiln.

The GHG emissions calculations of these industrial processes have been embedded in the CFP quantification tool. Relevant equations can be found in The GHG Protocol Initiative (2008).

Indirect Emissions

Key indirect GHG emissions arising from the production of reinforcing bar and structural steel products include: (i) external production of electricity consumed by steel manufacturers; (ii) production of bought raw materials and energy wares; (iii) off-site transportation; and (iv) land use change.

i) External Electricity Production

When a supplier of grid electricity can deliver a specific electricity product with specific life cycle data and guarantee that the electricity sale and the associated GHG emissions are not double counted, life cycle data for that electricity product shall be used. When the supplier of electricity does not provide specific GHG data for the specific electricity product, the GHG emissions associated with the national grid where the life cycle stage occurs shall be used. Where a country does not have a national grid but has several unconnected grids or several countries share a common grid, GHG emissions associated with the relevant grid from which the electricity is obtained shall be used. If specific life cycle data on a process within the electricity supply system are difficult to access, data from recognised databases may be used.

The GHG emissions shall include: the emissions arising from the generation of electricity, e.g. combustion of fuels, and generation of electricity lost in transmission and distribution in the grid; upstream GHG emissions (e.g. the mining and transport of fuel to the electricity generator or the growing and processing of biomass for use as a fuel); downstream GHG emissions (e.g. the treatment of waste arising from the operation of nuclear electricity generators or treatment of ashes from coal fired electricity plants); as well as GHG emissions related to construction, maintenance and decommission of the electricity supply system.

ii) Production of Bought Raw Materials and Energy Wares

GHG emissions and removals associated with the use of raw materials such as limestone, dolomite, iron ore, coke, lime etc. bought from third party in the production and packaging of the finished steel product shall be calculated by multiplying the consumption of those raw materials by the embodied carbon emission factors adopted from the Inventory of Carbon and Energy (ICE) provided in the CFP quantification tool. Primary emission factors should be used if data is available.

GHG emissions from the mining and production of energy ware such as coal, natural gas, oil, petcoke, etc. used in the steel manufacturing process should also be accounted for under the indirect emissions. Applicants should apply the emission factor provided by region specific databases or well recognised sources (e.g. Ecoinvent, China Energy Statistical Yearbook, Japan CFP database, etc.).

iii) Off-site Transportation

Applicants are required to specify the mode of transportation (e.g. road, rail, water or aircraft), type of activity data, vehicle type, distance travelled, fuel used, etc. to measure the GHG emissions generated from the off-site transportation associated with the studied steel product from “cradle to site” (see Figure 5). When transportation is outside Hong Kong or the fuel consumption of non-road transport is not known. The fuel / distance-based approach is applicable to the case. The transport emissions associated with the steel product can be measured by adopting

the WRI’s protocol, namely “Mobile Combustion GHG Emission Calculation Tool⁵” (version 2.5, 2013) or equivalent tool if deemed appropriate. The fuel-based approach only applies to the case when the transportation happens within Hong Kong and the fuel consumption data are known. The fuel-based emission factors can be obtained from the EPD / EMSD guideline, namely “Guidelines to Account for and Report on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Removals for Buildings (Commercial, Residential or Institutional Purposes) in Hong Kong⁶” (2010 Edition). Fuel consumption data can also be estimated based on the energy consumption indicators as provided by EMSD Energy Consumption Indicator⁷.




Figure 5. Method Selection for Transportation Emissions Calculation

Note: If the transportation occurs within Hong Kong, the emissions arising product transportation can be ignored based on the “cradle-to-site” system boundary as stipulated in Section 3.1.

iv) Land Use Change

The GHG emissions and removals occurring as a result of direct land use change shall be assessed in accordance with internationally recognised methods such as the IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories and included in the CFP. If plant-specific data are applied, they shall be transparently documented in the CFP study report. If a national approach is used, the data shall be based on a verified study, a peer reviewed study or similar scientific evidence and shall be documented in the CFP study report. Indirect land use change can be ignored in CFP studies under the CIC Green Product Certification.

Table 4 summarises the parameters involved, and the data sources for the calculation of carbon footprint of reinforcing bar and structural steel products.

⁵ The tool is accessible at http://www.ghgprotocol.org/files/ghgp/Transport_Tool_v2%205-1_0.xlsm.

⁶ The guideline is accessible at http://www.epd.gov.hk/epd/english/climate_change/files/Guidelines_English_2010.pdf

⁷ The indicators are accessible at: http://ecib.emsd.gov.hk/en/indicator_trp.htm

Table 4. Parameters and Data Sources for Calculating the Carbon Footprint of Reinforcing Bar and Structural Steel Products

Emission components	Parameters	Units	Sources of parameters	
<i>Furnace and Non-furnace Fuels Combustion</i>				
Conventional furnace fuels	Fuel consumption	t	Measured at plant level	
	Lower heating value	GJ/t fuel	Measured at plant level	
	Emission factor	tCO ₂ e/GJ fuel	IPCC defaults, or measured	
Alternative fossil fuels	Fuel consumption	t	Measured at plant level	
	Lower heating value	GJ/t fuel	Measured at plant level	
	Emission factor	tCO ₂ e/GJ fuel	IPCC defaults, or measured	
Biomass fossil fuels	Fuel consumption	t	Measured at plant level	
	Lower heating value	GJ/t fuel	Measured at plant level	
	Emission factor	tCO ₂ e/GJ fuel	Default = 0 kg CO ₂ e	
<i>Industrial Processes</i>				
Direct Emissions	Coke production, Sinter production, Steel production and DRI production	Materials consumed	t	Measured at plant level
		Carbon content of materials consumed	tCO ₂ /t	Provided in the CFP Quantification tool
		Molecular weight of CO ₂ to that of carbon i.e. 44/12	n.a.	n.a.
	On-site lime production	Carbonates consumption	t	Measured at plant level
		Emissions factors	tCO ₂ /t	Provided in the CFP Quantification tool
		Amount of LKD Weight fraction of original carbonate in the LKD	t t/t	Measured at plant level Measured at plant level
Off-site lime production	Lime imported	t	Measured at plant level	
	CaO / CaO·MgO content	t/t	Provided in the CFP Quantification tool	
	Stoichiometric ratios	n.a.	Provided in the CFP Quantification tool	
External electricity production	Power bought from external grid	GWh	Measured at plant level	
	Emission factor	tCO ₂ e/GWh	Applicant-specific value or country grid factor	
Indirect Emissions	Production of bought raw materials and energy wares	Net raw materials and energy wares purchased	t	Measured at plant level
		Emission factor	tCO ₂ e/t	Default factor / Input
	Off-site transportation	Mode of transportation		Measured using WRI protocol / EPD/EMSD Guidelines
		Type of activity data		
Vehicle type				
Distance travelled				
Land use change	Fuel consumed			
	Emission factor		Measured in accordance with IPCC Guidelines	

Data Requirements

CFP quantification carried out in accordance with this Guide shall include all GHG emissions and removals of those unit processes within the predefined system boundary that have the potential to make a significant contribution to the CFP. The calculation shall relate system input and output data to the functional unit, i.e. tCO₂e/t of reinforcing bar and structural steel produced. The assessment shall include:

- i) contribution from any one source of GHG emissions of more than 1% of the anticipated total GHG emissions associated with the product being assessed; and
- ii) at least 95% of the anticipated life cycle GHG emissions and removals associated with the functional unit.

Site-specific data shall be collected for individual processes under the financial or operational control of the organisation undertaking the CFP study, and shall be representative of the processes for which they are collected. Site-specific data should also be used where practicable for those unit processes that contribute significantly to the CFP, but are not under the financial or operational control of the organisation undertaking the CFP study.

Site Specific Data

Site-specific data can be collected from a specific site, or can be averaged across all sites that contain the process within the product system under study. They can be measured or modelled, as long as the result is specific to the process in the product's life cycle.

ISO/TS 14067 (2013)

Secondary data and primary data that are not site-specific data shall only be used for inputs where the collection of site-specific data is not practicable such as GHG emissions in the upstream processes, or for processes of minor importance and may include literature data, such as default emission factors, calculated data, estimates or other representative data. Primary data that are not site-specific data, based on global or regional averages, collected by regional or international organisations and which have undergone third-party verification should be used when the collection of site-specific data is not practicable. Secondary data shall be justified and documented with references in the CFP study report. A CFP study should use data that reduce bias and uncertainty as far as practical by using the best quality data available. Primary and secondary data shall be selected to enable the goal and scope of the CFP study to be met.

If allocation of GHG emissions and removals is needed, the inputs and outputs of the product system should be partitioned between its different products or functions in a way that reflects the underlying physical relationships between them. For instance, should more than one product be transported by a transport system (e.g. truck, ship, aircraft, train), the emissions arising from the transport system shall be divided amongst the products on the basis of: (i) the relative mass of different products being transported; or (ii) the relative volume of different products being transported. Where physical relationship alone cannot be used as the basis for allocation, the inputs should be allocated between the products and functions in a way that reflects other relationships between them (e.g. economic value). The selected allocation methods shall be documented in the CFP study report in detail and the GHGs taken into account shall be clearly stated.

Applicants undertaking a CFP study should have a data management system and should seek to continuously improve the consistency and quality of their data and retention of

relevant documents and other records. Since data collection may span several reporting locations and published references, measures should be taken to reach uniform and consistent understanding of the product systems to be assessed. A check on data validity shall be conducted during the process of data collection to ensure compliance with the requirements of this Guide.

The quantified figures for supporting the assessment of GHG emissions and removals of the product shall be collected and submitted for analysis and verification over a minimum of six months and a maximum of the most recent two years. The CFP results obtained from accredited VVB shall be valid for a maximum period of two years. If a significant change associated with the life cycle GHG emissions and removals of the product is observed, the validity ceases in such situation. Third party verified EPD, complied with ISO 14025 / EN 15804 and with a validity of 3 years, under relevant sustainability schemes (e.g. CARES Sustainability Scheme) can be used in the carbon auditing and reporting of this scheme, subject to discretion of HKGBC.

3.3 Life Cycle Impact Assessment

In the LCIA phase of a CFP study, the potential climate change impact of each GHG emitted and removed by the product system shall be calculated by multiplying the mass of GHG released or removed by the 100-year GWP given by the IPCC in units of “kg CO₂e per kg emission” (see Annex A). The CFP is the sum of these calculated impacts which shall be automatically generated in the “performance indicators” using the CFP quantification tool in terms of tCO₂e/t of reinforcing bar and structural steel produced. Where GWP values are amended by the IPCC, the latest values shall be used in the CFP calculations. If the latest IPCC GWP data are not used, this shall be stated and justified in the CFP study report.

3.4 Life Cycle Interpretation

In the life cycle interpretation phase of a CFP study, a CFP study report, for the assessed reinforcing bar and structural steel product, shall be compiled to document the results of the quantification of the CFP study, and to demonstrate that the provisions of this Guide and relevant standards have been met. The results and conclusions of the CFP study shall be documented in the CFP study report without bias. The results, data, methods, assumptions and the life cycle interpretation shall be transparent and presented in sufficient detail to allow the reader to comprehend the complexities and trade-offs inherent in the CFP study.

The CFP study report shall comprise the followings:

- Goal and scope in accordance with this Guide (or modified scope if applicable along with justifications and exclusions, of the CFP study), including but not limited to:
 - Functional unit;
 - System boundary; and
 - Production process map.
- LCI and LCIA:
 - General plant information;
 - Reporting period;
 - Cut-off criteria and cut-offs;

- Choices and assumptions;
 - Selected allocation approach;
 - Description of data, including decisions concerning data, sources of data, details of individual data, and assessment of data quality, e.g. results of sensitivity analysis and uncertainty assessments;
 - Sensitivity check regarding the significant inputs
 - Treatment of electricity; and
 - Disclosure and justification of value choices that have been made in the context of decisions within the CFP study.
- CFP quantification results:
 - GHG emissions and removals linked to the main life cycle stages, i.e. raw material acquisition; production; and distribution to HK, including the absolute and the relative contribution of each life cycle stage;
 - GHG emissions and removals arising from conventional fossil fuels, alternative fuels and biogenic carbon sources and sinks;
 - GHG emissions and removals arising from direct and indirect emissions according to Section 3.2; and
 - GHG emissions of the product assessed in terms of tCO_{2e}/t of reinforcing bar and structural steel produced.
 - Significant issues based on the results of the quantification of the CFP according to LCI and LCIA phases.
 - Evaluation that considers completeness, sensitivity and consistency checks.
 - Conclusions, limitations, and recommendations.
 - Declaration of the information provided is true and correct.

4. REFERENCES

BS EN 10020:2000 *Definition and classification of grades of steel*

EPD (2011) *Product Category Rules for Steel for the Reinforcement of Concrete - Weldable, CPC Class 4124*, Version 1.0, The International EPD® System.

IEA (2007) *Tracking Industrial Energy Efficiency and CO₂ Emissions*. International Energy Agency, France.

ISO 14025 (2006) *Environmental Labels and Declarations – Type III Environmental Declarations – Principles and Procedures*.

ISO 14040 (2006) *Environmental Management – Life Cycle Assessment – Principles and Framework*.

ISO 14044 (2006) *Environmental Management – Life Cycle Assessment – Requirements and Guidelines*.

ISO 14064-1 (2006) *Greenhouse Gases – Part 1: Specification with Guidance at the Organization Level for Quantification and Reporting of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Removals*.

ISO/TS 14067 (2013) *Greenhouse Gases – Carbon Footprint of Products – Requirements and Guidelines for Quantification and Communication*.

IPCC (2001) *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, U.K.

IPCC (2006) *Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*. National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Lindstad, T., Olsen, S.E., Tranell, G., Færden, T. and Lubetsky, J. (2007) Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Ferroalloy Production, *International Ferro-Alloys Congress (INFACON XI)*, New Delhi, India, 18-21 February 2007.

The GHG Protocol Initiative (2008) *Calculating Greenhouse gas Emissions from Iron and Steel Production: A Component Tool of the Greenhouse Gas Protocol Initiative*, World Business Council for Sustainable Development.

WBCSD (2013) Greenhouse Gas Protocol, A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition. World Business Council for Sustainable Development / Cement Sustainability Initiative. Available from <http://www.ghgprotocol.org/files/ghgp/public/ghg-protocol-revised.pdf>

United Nations (1997) *The Kyoto Protocol*. New York: United Nations.

ANNEX A – THE 100 YEAR GWP

The global warming potential (GWP) is an index, based upon radiative properties of well mixed GHGs, measuring the radiative forcing of a unit mass of a given well-mixed GHG in the present day atmosphere over a chosen time horizon, relative to that of carbon dioxide. Table A1 shows the 100-year GWP of GHGs according to IPCC Fourth assessment report. When new data are published by the IPCC, the new data supersede those in Table A1.

Table A1 GWP Relative to CO₂ for the 100-year Time Horizon

Industrial designation or common name	Chemical formula	GWP for 100-year time horizon
Carbon dioxide	CO ₂	1
Methane	CH ₄	25
Nitrous oxide	N ₂ O	298
<i>Substances controlled by the Montreal Protocol</i>		
CFC-11	CCl ₃ F	4,750
CFC-12	CCl ₂ F ₂	10,900
CFC-13	CClF ₃	14,400
CFC-113	CCl ₂ FCClF ₂	6,130
CFC-114	CClF ₂ CClF ₂	10,000
CFC-115	CClF ₂ CF ₃	7,370
Halon-1301	CBrF ₃	7,140
Halon-1211	CBrClF ₂	1,890
Halon-2402	CBrF ₂ CBrF ₂	1,640
Carbon tetrachloride	CCl ₄	1,400
Methyl bromide	CH ₃ Br	5
Methyl chloroform	CH ₃ CCl ₃	146
HCFC-21	CHClF	151
HCFC-22	CHClF ₂	1,810
HCFC-123	CHCl ₂ CF ₃	77
HCFC-124	CHClF ₂ CF ₃	609
HCFC-141b	CH ₃ CCl ₂ F	725
HCFC-142b	CH ₃ CClF ₂	2,310
HCFC-225ca	CHCl ₂ CF ₂ CF ₃	122
HCFC-225cb	CHClF ₂ CF ₂ CClF ₂	595
<i>Hydrofluorocarbons</i>		
HFC-23	CHF ₃	14,800
HFC-32	CH ₂ F ₂	675
HFC41	CH ₃ F	92
HFC-125	CHF ₂ CF ₃	3,500

HFC-134	CHF ₂ CHF ₂	1,100
HFC-134a	CH ₂ FCF ₃	1,430
HFC-143	CH ₂ FCHF ₂	353
HFC-143a	CH ₃ CF ₃	4,470
HFC-152	CH ₂ FCH ₂ F	53
HFC-152a	CH ₃ CHF ₂	124
HFC-161	CH ₃ CH ₂ F	12
HFC-227ea	CF ₃ CHF ₂ CF ₃	3,220
HFC-236cb	CH ₂ FCF ₂ CF ₃	1,340
HFC-236ea	CHF ₂ CHF ₂ CF ₃	1,370
HFC-236fa	CF ₃ CH ₂ CF ₃	9,810
HFC-245ca	CH ₂ FCF ₂ CHF ₂	693
HFC-245fa	CHF ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃	1,030
HFC-365mfc	CH ₃ CF ₂ CH ₂ CF ₃	794
HFC-43-10mee	CF ₃ CHFCH ₂ CF ₂ CF ₃	1,640
<i>Perfluorinated compounds</i>		
Sulfur hexafluoride	SF ₆	22,800
Nitrogen trifluoride	NF ₃	17,200
PFC-14	CF ₄	7,390
PFC-116	C ₂ F ₆	12,200
PFC-218	C ₃ F ₈	8,830
PFC-318	c-C ₄ F ₈	10,300
PFC-3-1-10	C ₄ F ₁₀	8,860
PFC-4-1-12	C ₅ F ₁₂	9,160
PFC-5-1-14	C ₆ F ₁₄	9,300
PFC-9-1-18	C ₁₀ F ₁₈	>7,500
Trifluoromethyl sulfur pentafluoride	SF ₅ CF ₃	17,700
Perfluorocyclopropane	c-C ₃ F ₆	> 17,340
<i>Fluorinated ethers</i>		
HFE-125	CHF ₂ OCF ₃	14,900
HFE-134	CHF ₂ OCHF ₂	6,320
HFE-143a	CH ₃ OCF ₃	756
HCFE-235da2	CHF ₂ OCHClCF ₃	350
HFE-245cb2	CH ₃ OCF ₂ CHF ₂	708
HFE-245fa2	CHF ₂ OCH ₂ CF ₃	659
HFE-254cb2	CH ₃ OCF ₂ CHF ₂	359
HFE-347mcc3	CH ₃ OCF ₂ CF ₂ CF ₃	575
HFE-347pcf2	CHF ₂ CF ₂ OCH ₂ CF ₃	580

HFE-356pcc3	CH ₃ OCF ₂ CF ₂ CHF ₂	110
HFE-449sl (HFE-7100)	C ₄ F ₉ OCH ₃	297
HFE-569sf2 (HFE-7200)	C ₄ F ₉ OC ₂ H ₅	59
HFE-43-10-pccc124 (H-Galden 1040x)	CHF ₂ OCF ₂ OC ₂ F ₄ OCHF ₂	1,870
HFE-236ca12 (HG-10)	CH ₂ OCF ₂ OCHF ₂	2,800
HFE-338pcc13 (HG-01)	CHF ₂ OCF ₂ CF ₂ OCHF ₂	1,500
	(CF ₃) ₂ CFOCH ₃	343
	CF ₃ CF ₂ CH ₂ OH	42
HFE-338pcc13 (HG-01)	(CF ₃) ₂ CHOH	195
HFE-227ea	CF ₃ CHFOCF ₃	1,540
HFE-236ea2	CHF ₂ OCHF ₂ CF ₃	989
HFE-236fa	CF ₃ CH ₂ OCF ₃	487
HFE-245fa1	CHF ₂ CH ₂ OCF ₃	286
HFE-263fb2	CF ₃ CH ₂ OCH ₃	11
HFE-329mcc2	CHF ₂ CF ₂ OCF ₂ CF ₃	919
HFE-338mcf2	CF ₃ CH ₂ OCF ₂ CF ₃	552
HFE-347mcf2	CHF ₂ CH ₂ OCF ₂ CF ₃	374
HFE-356mec3	CH ₃ OCF ₂ CHF ₂ CF ₃	101
HFE-356pcf2	CHF ₂ CH ₂ OCF ₂ CHF ₂	265
HFE-356pcf3	CHF ₂ OCH ₂ CF ₂ CHF ₂	502
HFE-365mcf3	CF ₃ CF ₂ CH ₂ OCH ₃	11
HFE-374pc2	CHF ₂ CF ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₃	557
	- (CF ₂) ₄ CH(OH) -	73
	(CF ₃) ₂ CHOCHF ₂	380
	(CF ₃) ₂ CHOCH ₃	27
<i>Perfluoropolyethers</i>		
PFPME	CF ₃ OCF(CF ₃)CF ₂ OCF ₂ OCF ₃	10,300
<i>Hydrocarbons and other compounds – direct effects</i>		
Dimethylether	CH ₃ OCH ₃	1
Chloroform	CHCl ₃	31
Methylene chloride	CH ₂ Cl ₂	8.7
Methyl chloride	CH ₃ Cl	13
Methylene bromide	CH ₂ Br ₂	1.54
Halon-1201	CHBrF ₂	404
Trifluoroiodomethane	CF ₃ I	0.4

Source: http://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch2s2-10-2.html#table-2-14, “Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing”, Table 2.14.